



| Market data | |
|--------------|------|
| EPIC/TKR | OPM |
| Price (p) | 54.5 |
| 12m High (p) | 55.0 |
| 12m Low (p) | 42.0 |
| Shares (m) | 83.8 |
| Mkt Cap (£m) | 45.7 |
| EV (£m) | 44.8 |
| Free Float* | 51% |
| Market | AIM |

*As defined by AIM Rule 26

Description

1pm is a finance company/broker providing almost 20k UK SMEs with a variety of products, including loans, lease, hire purchase, vehicle and invoice finance. Advances range from £1k-£500k. The company distributes directly, via finance brokers and vendor suppliers.

Company information

CEO Ian Smith
 CFO James Roberts
 Chairman John Newman

+44 1225 474230
www.1pm.co.uk

Key shareholders

| | |
|------------------------------------|--------|
| Lombard Odier (31/5/18) | 22.84% |
| Sapia Partners (31/5/18) | 12.66% |
| Ronald Russell (director 27/10/17) | 12.25% |
| Mike Nolan (director 31/5/18) | 6.30% |
| Charles Stanley (31/5/18) | 3.53% |

Diary

25 Oct'18 AGM

Analyst

Mark Thomas 020 7194 7622
mt@hardmanandco.com

1pm plc

Group synergies coming through

The FY'18 results delivered exactly what management promised. Loan origination was up 72%, revenue rose 78%, pre-tax profits increased 93%, while EPS grew 24%. The synergies from being a bigger group are emerging. Funding costs have fallen rapidly. Perhaps of greater importance, cross-group sales leads were £13m in 4Q FY'18. Not all will convert, but this represents nearly 10% of FY'18 origination. While we note a retiring director's 6% stake may be viewed as a stock overhang, the implied May 2019 P/E of 6.7x and price to book of 0.9x, appears an anomaly given the downside risk highlighted in our sensitivity analyses.

- ▶ **FY'18 results:** These results confirm all the company promises. Strong franchise growth has been delivered. Provisioning continues to be conservative ("general" provisions are over a third of the charge). Our updated credit sensitivity scenario (detailed below) indicates 2020E EPS above 2017, even in a hard landing.
- ▶ **Outlook:** We have continued to accelerate investment into 2019 and this has led to a 2% trimming of EPS estimates for that year. We have introduced 2020 estimates showing further double-digit EPS growth. 1pm has previously announced an intent to increase dividend by 30% p.a. to FY'21.
- ▶ **Risks:** Credit risk is a key factor and is managed by each business unit according to its own specific characteristics, with a group overview of controls. Funding is widely diversified and at least matches the duration of lending. Acquisitions would appear well priced and delivery of synergies provides earnings upside.
- ▶ **Valuation:** We detailed the assumptions in our valuation approaches in our initiation note, "Financing powerhouse: A lunchtime treat". The GGM indicates 116p and the DDM 70p (DDM normal payout 78p). The 2020E P/E (6.7x) and P/B (0.9x) appear an anomaly with 1pm's profitability, growth and downside risk.
- ▶ **Investment summary:** 1pm offers strong earnings growth, in an attractive market, where management is tightly controlling risk. Targets to more than double the market capitalisation appear credible, with triggers to a re-rating being both fundamental (delivery of earnings growth, proof of cross-selling) and sentiment-driven (payback for management actively engaging the investor community). Profitable, growing companies generally trade well above NAV.

| Financial summary and valuation | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|---------|---------|---------|
| Year-end May (£000) | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019E | 2020E |
| Revenue | 5,534 | 12,554 | 16,944 | 30,103 | 33,503 | 36,854 |
| Cost of sales | -2,503 | -4,480 | -6,094 | -10,118 | -11,264 | -12,672 |
| Admin. expenses | -1,394 | -4,290 | -6,469 | -12,183 | -13,603 | -14,419 |
| Operating profit | 1,637 | 3,418 | 4,121 | 7,966 | 8,914 | 9,763 |
| Pre-tax profit | 1,620 | 3,346 | 4,080 | 7,850 | 8,708 | 9,537 |
| Adj. EPS (p) | 3.7 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 7.9 | 8.1 | 9.0 |
| Total receivables | 24,991 | 56,061 | 73,955 | 126,069 | 141,197 | 155,317 |
| Eq. to receivables | 49% | 43% | 39% | 38% | 40% | 41% |
| Shares in issue (m) | 36.9 | 52.5 | 54.9 | 86.2 | 88.4 | 90.5 |
| P/adj. earnings (x) | 14.7 | 8.4 | 8.4 | 6.9 | 6.7 | 6.1 |
| P/B (x) | 1.6 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.8 |
| Yield | 0.6% | 0.9% | 0.9% | 1.2% | 1.6% | 2.0% |

Source: Hardman & Co Research

Table of contents

| | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|
| FY'18 results summary | 3 |
| Financial highlights | 3 |
| Operational highlights | 3 |
| Strategic update | 4 |
| Group summary | 4 |
| Growth | 5 |
| Credit risk management | 6 |
| Summary | 6 |
| FY'18 update..... | 6 |
| Scenario sensitivity update | 7 |
| Funding | 9 |
| Financials | 10 |
| Changes to estimates..... | 10 |
| Profit and loss | 11 |
| Balance sheet | 12 |
| Cashflow..... | 13 |
| Valuation..... | 14 |
| Summary | 14 |
| Gordon Growth Model | 14 |
| Dividend Discount Model | 14 |
| Peer comparisons | 14 |
| Disclaimer | 15 |
| Hardman & Co team | 16 |

FY'18 results summary

Financial highlights

Revenue growth 78%, organic growth 31%

Cost of sales +66% – primarily broker commission

"General" provisions up £0.6m, specific write-offs £3.1m, recoveries £2.2m

Stock of provisions rising

Admin. expenses up 88% but this includes re-classification of some interest as well as accelerated investment

Profit up 93%, EPS up 24%

- ▶ FY'18 revenue increased 78% to £30m (FY'17 £16.9m, an acceleration on the 1H'18 growth rate of 74%). Organic growth was 31% (1H'18 22%). Included in revenue is £5.2m (FY'17: £2.4m) of broked commission income.
- ▶ The cost of sales (£10.1m, up 66% on FY'17, 1H growth 64%) is predominantly expenses, such as broker commissions, and this has risen with volumes.
- ▶ Additionally, cost of sales includes credit impairments (net write-offs using 1pm terminology), which, in the period, were £1.5m, 1.2% of total receivables at period-end (1H'17: £0.3m; 2H'17: £0.6m; 1H'18: £0.7m; 2H'18: £0.8m). The charge included £3.1m of gross new provisions, £2.2m in recoveries (net £0.9m charge) and a further £0.6m added to the provision for potential impairments.
- ▶ At end May 2018, the stock of bad debt provisions was £1.8m (2017: £1.2m). The impact of IFRS9 is detailed below but the transition effect is minimal because of historic conservatism in provisioning.
- ▶ Administration expenses rose 88% to £12.2m. As detailed in the financial section below the group includes some elements of interest cost within administration expenses but it has also accelerated front line hires and control functions (compliance now four staff up from one). Despite this investment, group profit before tax and exceptional items increased 93% to £7.9m (FY'17: £4.1m). Basic earnings per share increased 24% to 7.57p (1H FY'18: 3.23p), despite the increase in shares in issue (average 84.6m vs. 53.9m).
- ▶ Net assets increased 69% to £48.1m (31 May 2017: £28.5m).

Operational highlights

New origination +72%; similar proportion has been broked on

Funding well diversified. Cost of funding down nearly a third, partially mix but also group benefit.








Synergy from group cross-selling is rising

- ▶ Combined origination amounted to £142.9m of new lease, hire and loan agreements (FY'17: £83m), an increase of 72%. 1pm maintained flexibility to either fund on 'own-book' or generate cash commissions from broking, (ca.56% of new lease and loan contracts broked for commission.)
- ▶ The combined 'own-book' assets, loans and invoice finance portfolios increased to £142.1m (31 May 2017: £89.5m) principally reflecting the addition of the Commercial Finance division receivables in June 2017. At end May 2018, there were ca.20k customers, against ca.£16k in September last year.
- ▶ Funding facilities were £162.6m at 31 May 2018 (31 May 2017: £74.5m, November 2017 £137m). Block funding facilities continue to be the largest element, but the group has actively expanded and diversified its funding, including a £35m line from the British Business Bank. Blended cost of borrowings fell to ca.4.1% (year to 31 May 2017: 5.3%).
- ▶ Integration and cross-selling progress at each entity is said to be in line with operational expectations and objectives set by management. Origination of new leads from cross-selling is increasing month-on-month with £13m of leads generated in the final quarter of the financial year.

Strategic update

Group summary

As detailed in the figure below, 1pm offers a diversified portfolio of SME financing products, sourced from a diversified source of channels, with a broad (match) funding. It maintains significant strategic flexibility to retain lending on balance sheet or broke to other lenders. This, coupled with a client base of ca.20k, generates growth options, including an increasing degree of cross selling. 4Q FY'18 leads of £13m, if converted, would represent nearly 10% of the annual origination).

| Strategic summary | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|---|
| Brand | Introducer channel | Products | Funder or broker | Customer focus | Funding |
|  | Finance Brokers | Soft Asset Leases | Funder only | UK SMEs | Block Discount Facilities |
|  | Suppliers and Manufacturers | Soft Asset Leases and New Vehicles | Funder and Broker | UK SMEs | Block Discount Facilities |
|  | Brokers and Suppliers | Hard Assets | Funder and Broker | UK SMEs | Block Discount Facilities (British Business Bank in due course) |
|  | Mortgages Advisors and Brokers | Property and PG-backed Loans | Funder and Broker | Both consumers and UK SMEs | Secured Loan Note |
|  | Professional firms and Brokers | Invoice Finance | Funder only | UK SMEs | Back-to-back Bank Facility |
|  | Professional firms and Brokers | Invoice Finance | Funder only | UK SMEs | Back-to-back Bank Facility |
|  | Car Dealers and Customers | Used Vehicles | Broker only | Consumers | Operating cash flow |

Source: 1pm, Hardman & Co Research

The table below gives a business line rather than brand summary for the group. As can be seen, the asset finance and loan books have broadly similar yields (mid-to-high teens) and credit experience (net write-offs ca.1%) generating excellent risk adjusted, post funding margins of ca.10%. The commercial financing business is very different with a much lower margin but significant fee generation. This revenue mix, and the volatility of the business balance sheet at specific dates (let alone with seasonality around things like Christmas) means that a risk adjusted margin based off spot numbers needs to be treated with great caution. The key risk dynamic, though, is that the acquired businesses in 10 years have incurred ca.£300k in total impairments and yet they currently generate ca.£7m in revenue.

Summary by division

| £m | Asset finance and vehicle broking | Asset secured and personal G'tee loans | Commercial (invoice) financing |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|
| Origination | 102 | 28 | 12 |
| Own Book | 70.7 | 15.3 | 36.8 |
| Divisional equity | 11 | 9 | 6 |
| Funding Facilities | 112 | 12 | 37 |
| Headroom | 52 | 6 | 6 |
| Average yield | Fixed 16% | Fixed 18.5% | ca.4% + 15% fees |
| Average cost of funds | Fixed 5% | Fixed 6.5% | ca 2.5% |
| Impairments | Net write-offs 1% | Net write-off 1% | Minimal to date |
| Risk adjusted margin | ca.10% | ca.11% | N/M as fee business |
| Duration | 3 years | 1-3 years | 1-year facilities |
| Stock of provisions | Approaching 2% | 2.0% | ca 1% |

Source: 1pm, Hardman & Co Research

Growth

Strong growth in all businesses

As can be seen in the table below, there was strong growth across all business lines. This was driven by the origination growth highlighted on p2 with 44% of new loans and leases retained on book and 56% was broked on for commission. The rise in commission also has been helped by greater vehicle related business. Of the £5.2m commission income (£2.2m in FY'17) £2.4m related to vehicles, £1.1m to equipment and £1.7m in loan finance arrangement.

Business line pricing stable; group yield little lower due to lower risk mix of business

We understand that new business pricing in each business line has been stable with the gross yield being 16.1% in FY'18 (16.8% FY'17) and the reduction reflecting mix effects of an increasing proportion of lower-risk lower-yield assets. The fall in funding costs detailed below means the group NIM has risen from 11.5% to 12%.

Revenue by product area

| £m | FY'17 | FY'18 | Growth | % FY'18 total |
|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|------------|---------------|
| Own book lease | 12.1 | 15.2 | 26% | 51% |
| Own Book loans | 2.6 | 3.1 | 19% | 10% |
| Own book invoice finance | 0.0 | 6.5 | N/A | 22% |
| Commission | 2.2 | 5.2 | 136% | 17% |
| Total | 16.9 | 30.0 | 78% | |

Source: 1pm, Hardman & Co Research

Credit risk management

Summary

Risk management tailored to specific exposures in each business with primary responsibility in the business units

We detailed how 1pm manages credit on pp21-26 in our initiation [Financing powerhouse: A lunchtime treat](#) published on 12 September 2017 and in our recent note [Give credit where it is due](#). There are a number of factors why 1pm may be considered low risk, including: (i) broking capacity; (ii) no commercial property lending (broking only); (iii) very broad portfolio of small exposures; (iv) no specific sector concentration, but sectoral knowledge of smaller SMEs including 'high-street' businesses; (v) repayments by regular direct debit; (vi) invoice finance requires double failure for major losses; and (vii) demand and pricing is likely to increase in macro-uncertain times. There are also some factors which indicate it is above average risk, including: (i) OnepmFinance is wholly reliant on broker-introduced business, so a remote lender; (ii) some lending to higher risk start-ups/impaired credits, but subject to appropriate security; (iii) some exposure to cyclical sectors; (iv) acquired assets often riskier than self-originated; (v) not a bank, so no current account real time data; and (vi) rapid growth. Overall, we believe 1pm's lease and loan book is above average risk but this is more than compensated for by above average yield and the ability to broke-on for immediate cash and profits as well as the positive mix effects of a significant invoice discounting business.

FY'18 update

Gross new specific write-off £3.1m, Recoveries £2.2m, "general" provisions up £0.6m

During the year, the group recovered £2.2m from previously fully written-off impaired receivables and incurred £3.1m of new write-offs with a net £0.9m impairment from specific loans. 1pm also increased its provision for potential impairments by £0.6m. The total profit and loss charge of £1.5m, is 1.2% of the year-end net portfolio (2017: £0.9m, 1.2%).

Stock of provisions 1.5% net portfolio vs. 1.3% end FY'17

At 31 May 2018, the group's balance sheet included £1.8m of bad debt provision, representing 1.5% of the year-end net portfolio (2017: £1.2m, representing 1.3% of the year-end net portfolio). The group's stated policy is to gradually increase provisions against the lease and loan component of the portfolio to ca.2.0%.

Top 10 sectors just 1/3 of portfolio, lending highly diversified by customer, region, sector, etc.

The portfolio is very diverse. (i) Largest sector by value (freight transport) is only 5% of portfolio. The top 10 sectors account for only a third of the portfolio and range from transport through to hairdressing and beauty to takeaway food and specialist construction. (ii) The largest lease is ca.£300k while the average lease deal size £15k. (iv) The largest Invoice finance facility is ca.£1.0m with an average of £150k. (v) Loan-to-value advance on hard assets, is typically 60-70%; on IF facilities, typically 55% of sales ledger.

Conservative provisioning

In the table below, we highlight the different stocks of provisions held ranging from 2% for the loan book down to 1% for the invoice finance business. We note that the IF provision of £0.3m is very conservative with the combined businesses in this area having taken a total of £0.5m in provisions over the past 10 years (against annual revenue currently at ca.£7m).

| Net portfolio | | | |
|-----------------|---------------|-----------|---------|
| £m | Net portfolio | Provision | % cover |
| Asset | 70.7 | 1.2 | 1.6% |
| Loan | 15.3 | 0.3 | 2.0% |
| Invoice Finance | 36.8 | 0.3 | 1.0% |
| Group | 122.8 | 1.8 | 1.5% |

Source: 1pm, Hardman & Co Research

IFRS9 impact tiny because of conservative provisioning; see our note "Give credit where it is due"

The Group International Financial Reporting Standard 9 ("IFRS9") 5 September guidance confirmed that had the adoption of this accounting standard occurred in the financial year ended 31 May 2018, the impact would have been 0.25% of NAV, a tiny figure compared with virtually all quoted companies (e.g. P2P Global Investments 2.5% announced on 6 September). We reviewed the transition in detail in our note [Give credit where it is due](#) published on 5 September 2018.

Scenario sensitivity update

We reviewed the group's credit with detailed scenario testing in our note [Give credit where it is due](#) published on 5 September 2018. In the section below, we provide an updated sensitivity analysis to our 2020 estimates assuming a range of scenarios from continuing current low loss rates through to an extreme hard landing. We highlight the impact on the whole profit and loss and not simply the impairment line. For example, harsher credit conditions are likely to see improving yields and more customers fall into 1pm's space providing material offsets to higher credit losses.

Moving scenario tests to 2020 estimates means downside has less of an effect (underlying earnings growth faster than loan growth)

Our initial review focused on 2019. By moving to 2020, we incorporate in revenue the whole year impact of lending made through 2019. With the greater visibility in the full year numbers we have also split out commission revenue and adjusted for the accounting alignment of receivables (see financial section). The change in base case is slightly lower than in our previous note as the growth in receivables (and so impairments) is slower than revenue. With an extra year of profit growth in our base case, it now requires impairments at 1.5x the level 1pm's IFRS 9 worst case scenario AND either slower growth or slower spread expansion before our forecast 2020 earnings are below the level of 2017.

In all but hardest landings 2020E earnings above 2017 actual earnings

| Sensitivity analysis | | | | | | |
|--|---------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------|---|
| Year-end May 2020E (£000) | Base | Current provisioning continues | IFRS 9 worst case | 1.5x IFRS9 worst case | 1.5x IFRS 9 worst case + no growth | 1.5x IFRS 9 worst case + half spread change |
| Revenue | 36,854 | 38,053 | 38,892 | 40,455 | 39,197 | 39,242 |
| Impairments in cost of sales | -1,864 | -1,584 | -5,040 | -7,147 | -6,872 | -7,147 |
| Impairment loss as % group receiv. | -1.20% | -1.00% | -3.18% | -4.42% | -4.42% | -4.42% |
| Cost of sales | -10,808 | -11,024 | -11,024 | -11,241 | -10,808 | -11,241 |
| Gross profit | 24,182 | 25,444 | 22,827 | 22,068 | 21,516 | 20,854 |
| Gross profit margin | 66% | 67% | 59% | 55% | 55% | 53% |
| Administration expenses | -14,419 | -14,491 | -14,491 | -14,635 | -14,563 | -14,635 |
| Exceptional items | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operating profit | 9,763 | 10,953 | 8,336 | 7,433 | 6,953 | 6,219 |
| Finance costs | -226 | -231 | -233 | -247 | -238 | -235 |
| Profit before income tax | 9,537 | 10,722 | 8,103 | 7,186 | 6,716 | 5,984 |
| Income tax | -1,621 | -2,037 | -1,540 | -1,365 | -1,276 | -1,137 |
| Profit for year | 7,915 | 8,685 | 6,563 | 5,821 | 5,440 | 4,847 |
| Change in profit from base case | | 10% | -17% | -26% | -31% | -39% |
| Change in profit from 2017 actual | 141% | 164% | 100% | 77% | 66% | 47% |
| Change in EPS from 2017 actual | 39% | 52% | 15% | 2% | -5% | -15% |
| Assumptions | | | | | | |
| Assumed loan/lease book | 120,000 | 122,400 | 122,400 | 124,800 | 120,000 | 124,800 |
| Book growth v base | | 2% | 2% | 4% | 0% | 4% |
| Yield on closing balance sheet | 17.50% | 18.00% | 18.45% | 18.91% | 18.91% | 18.21% |
| Income | 21,000 | 22,032 | 22,583 | 23,601 | 22,694 | 22,721 |
| Bad debt write-off rate | 1.50% | 1.25% | 4.00% | 5.55% | 5.55% | 5.55% |
| Losses in cost of sales | 1,800 | 1,530 | 4,896 | 6,926 | 6,660 | 6,926 |
| Assumed invoice finance book | 35,317 | 36,023 | 36,023 | 36,730 | 35,317 | 36,730 |
| Book growth v base | | 2% | 2% | 4% | 0% | 4% |
| Yield on closing balance sheet | 23.65% | 23.65% | 24.24% | 24.85% | 24.85% | 24.25% |
| Income | 8,353.71 | 8,521 | 8,734 | 9,128 | 8,777 | 8,908 |
| Bad debt write-off rate | 0.18% | 0.15% | 0.40% | 0.60% | 0.60% | 0.60% |
| Losses in cost of sales | 64 | 54 | 144 | 220 | 212 | 220 |
| Commissions | 7,500 | 7,500 | 7,575 | 7,727 | 7,727 | 7,613 |
| Administration expenses vs. base | | 0.5% | 0.5% | 1.5% | 1.0% | 1.5% |
| BP increase in funds | | - | 4 | 20 | 21 | - |
| Cost of funds vs. base | | 0% | 1% | 5% | 5% | 0% |
| Valuation implications | | | | | | |
| EPS (p) | 9.0 | 9.9 | 7.5 | 6.6 | 6.2 | 5.5 |
| Implied P/E (x) | 5.8 | 5.3 | 7.0 | 7.9 | 8.5 | 9.5 |
| Dividend (p) | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 |
| Dividend cover (x) | 10.64 | 11.67 | 8.82 | 7.82 | 7.31 | 6.51 |

Source: 1pm, Hardman & Co Research

Funding

Funding costs continue to fall. Partially due to operational improvements, partially due to group synergies and partially due to mix. Further reductions may be expected over medium term.

Short-term noise around things like interest rates and timing of specific debt issues

2018 been very positive year for diversifying and increasing funding sources. Most importantly now got support from government-backed British Business Bank.

Material headroom to fund further growth on balance sheet and group still has option to broke on business if required

We note the average funding costs has been on a downward trajectory (FY'16 5.8%, FY'17 5.3%, FY'18 4.1%) with the sharp fall this year. In FY'18, the range of funding costs was 2.5% through to 8.5% (prior year 4.5% through to 8.8%). This demonstrates that the cheapest funding has got materially cheaper but even the top of the range financing cost is lower than last year. The falling cost of funds has been driven by: (i) continued reduction in 'Block' rates due to treasury 'bulk buying'. In FY'18 a Group Treasury function was established, which rationalised group-wide "block-discounting" facilities for asset businesses. (ii) group synergies as the enlarged group is a lower risk than each of the parts individually; and (iii) mix with cheaper invoice finance facilities.

We do not expect the fall to be smooth in every period (e.g. 2H'18 rose on 1H'18) as it is influenced by factors such as: (i) the 25bp bank rate increase reflected in some of the block funding drawn; and (ii) more drawings on the Secured Loan Note, which is a higher rate instrument, and so, an adverse mix effect. We do, however, expect the direction of falling funding costs to continue over time.

We note 2018 has been a year with many funding initiatives through the year, highlighting increased levels of facilities and their diversity. The group continues to match fund, so its financing at least matches the duration length of its lending. Some of the key announcements in 2018 have been:

- ▶ 12 April 2018: £14.5m increase in block funding from six providers;
- ▶ 27 March 2018: an asset finance facility with the government's British Business Bank ("BBB") under BBB's ENABLE Funding programme that will provide £35m of additional funding to 1pm's Bradgate subsidiary;
- ▶ 27 February 2018: access to 50,000 international retail investors through the Mintos marketplace.

As can be seen in the table below, 1pm has put in place a broad range of facilities and has material headroom to support growth with pre-committed lines of match duration funding. It also of course has the ability to broke business as required.

| Revenue by product area | | | |
|--|--------------|-----------------|-------------|
| £m | Facility | Usage at 31 May | Headroom |
| Overdraft | 1.0 | 0 | 1.0 |
| Block Funding | 112.6 | 59.3 | 53.3 |
| Secured loan note and other HNWI loans | 12.0 | 6.1 | 5.9 |
| Back to Back facilities | 37.0 | 30.7 | 6.3 |
| Total | 162.6 | 96.1 | 66.5 |

Source: 1pm, Hardman & Co Research

As at 31 May 2018, the group's gearing ratio was 4.8 times its Net Tangible Assets, which is comfortably within the most stringent funder covenant of 5.5 times.

Financials

Changes to estimates

We have not made any material changes to our 2019 EPS forecasts with these results other than to marginally accelerate investment. We have introduced 2020 estimates. Specific lines have, however, been affected by accounting treatments. In particular:

Acquired invoice finance companies had different accounting policy. Aligning them has reduced receivables and payables but no effect on revenue.

► Invoice finance providers can show either net receivables from clients, or the gross receivable and the amount payable back to them. So, for example; advances to borrowers of £100, their sales ledger (over which 1pm has control) £200 could be shown in the accounts as either £200 receivable and £100 payable back to the borrower, or a net £100 receivable. 1pm is now aligned on the latter. The two acquired companies historically adopted different approaches to each other and in our old forecasts we had carried through the historic practices. The netting of receivables is the primary driver to the decline in the receivables forecasts but it does not have an impact on revenue estimates.

Group focused on lower risk businesses; has seen reduction in higher risk lending

► We note from the cashflow statement that the organic level of receivables was down £2.6m despite new origination. We understand this is primarily due to the group focusing on lower risk deals and pulling back on some higher risk lines such as working capital. We understand this re-calibration is now largely complete and so, going forward, more of the own-book origination will feed through to growth in on-balance sheet receivables.

Alignment of accounting also seen switch from finance expenses into administration expense. No net change, just move between lines.

► The alignment of accounting on acquired business also means that ca.£0.5m costs incurred by positive cashflow, which it reported in finance expense have been reclassified into administration expenses. Again, we had applied the historic acquired company accounting approach. With the aligned accounting policy now being adopted, operating profit is lower and finance costs fall, but there is no net effect on pre-tax profits

Figure 4: Estimate changes

| Year-end May (£000) | 2018 | | | 2019E | | | 2020E |
|-------------------------|---------|---------|----------|---------|---------|----------|--------------|
| | Old | New | % change | Old | New | % change | 1st forecast |
| Revenue | 29,596 | 30,103 | 2% | 32,946 | 33,503 | 2% | 36,854 |
| Cost of sales | -9,849 | -10,118 | 3% | -10,820 | -11,264 | 4% | -12,672 |
| Administration expenses | -10,834 | -12,183 | 12% | -11,983 | -13,603 | 14% | -14,419 |
| Operating profit | 8,619 | 7,966 | -8% | 9,822 | 8,914 | -9% | 9,763 |
| Pre-tax profit | 7,946 | 7,850 | -1% | 9,048 | 8,708 | -4% | 9,537 |
| EPS (p) | 7.85 | 7.90 | 1% | 8.28 | 8.11 | -2% | 8.99 |
| DPS (p) | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0% | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0% | 1.10 |
| Total receivables | 150,893 | 126,069 | -16% | 169,000 | 141,197 | -16% | 155,317 |
| Equity to receivables | 32% | 38% | | 33% | 40% | | 41% |

Source: Hardman & Co Research

Profit and loss

| Profit and loss | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Year-end May (£000) | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019E | 2020E |
| Revenue | 3,107 | 4,212 | 5,534 | 12,554 | 16,944 | 30,103 | 33,503 | 36,854 |
| Cost of sales | -1,651 | -1,994 | -2,503 | -4,480 | -6,094 | -10,118 | -11,264 | -12,672 |
| Gross profit | 1,455 | 2,217 | 3,031 | 8,074 | 10,850 | 19,895 | 22,240 | 24,182 |
| Other operating income | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Administration expenses | -663 | -845 | -1,394 | -4,290 | -6,469 | -12,183 | -13,603 | -14,419 |
| Exceptional items | 0 | 0 | 0 | -368 | -263 | 254 | 277 | 0 |
| Operating profit | 792 | 1,372 | 1,637 | 3,418 | 4,121 | 7,966 | 8,914 | 9,763 |
| Finance costs | -17 | -26 | -21 | -74 | -82 | -179 | -206 | -226 |
| Finance income | 0 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 41 | 63 | 0 | 0 |
| Profit before income tax | 775 | 1,346 | 1,620 | 3,346 | 4,080 | 7,850 | 8,708 | 9,537 |
| Income tax | -172 | -297 | -349 | -480 | -794 | -1,448 | -1,572 | -1,621 |
| Profit for year | 603 | 1,049 | 1,271 | 2,866 | 3,286 | 6,402 | 7,136 | 7,915 |
| Average number of shares (m) | 23.15 | 29.60 | 34.18 | 48.85 | 53.94 | 84.60 | 88.03 | 88.03 |
| Diluted No shares | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.15 | 3.82 | 14.49 | 13.49 | 13.49 |
| Statutory EPS | 2.60 | 3.54 | 3.72 | 5.87 | 6.09 | 7.57 | 8.11 | 8.99 |
| Diluted EPS | 2.58 | 3.52 | 3.70 | 5.51 | 5.69 | 6.46 | 7.03 | 7.80 |
| Adjusted EPS (p) | 2.60 | 3.54 | 3.72 | 6.47 | 6.48 | 7.90 | 8.11 | 8.99 |
| Total dividend (p) | - | - | 0.35 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.65 | 0.85 | 1.10 |
| Dividend cover (adjusted EPS) (x) | N/A | N/A | 10.6 | 12.9 | 13.0 | 12.2 | 9.6 | 8.2 |
| Ratios (%) | | | | | | | | |
| Revenue to year-end bal. sht. | 24% | 24% | 22% | 22% | 23% | 24% | 24% | 24% |
| Cost of sales to revenue | -53% | -47% | -45% | -36% | -36% | -34% | -34% | -34% |
| Admin. costs to revenue | -21% | -20% | -25% | -34% | -38% | -40% | -41% | -39% |
| Finance costs to revenue | -1% | -1% | 0% | -1% | 0% | -1% | -1% | -1% |
| Finance cost as % year-end Int.-bearing liabilities | -2% | -3% | -3% | -4% | -7% | -4% | -4% | -5% |
| Return on net assets | 13% | 15% | 10% | 12% | 12% | 13% | 13% | 13% |

Source: 1pm, Hardman & Co Research

Balance sheet

We have adjusted our balance sheet forecast to reflect the alignment of the invoice discounting business. Underlying assumptions are broadly unchanged.

| Balance sheet | | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| As at 31 May (£000) | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019E | 2020E |
| Non-current assets | | | | | | | | |
| Goodwill | - | - | - | 10,289 | 14,908 | 27,847 | 27,847 | 27,847 |
| Intangible assets | - | - | - | - | 84 | 465 | 750 | 1,000 |
| Property, plant and equipment | 41 | 73 | 239 | 1,251 | 1,744 | 1,612 | 1,612 | 1,612 |
| Trade and other receivables | - | - | 14,502 | 33,166 | 49,966 | 50,096 | 56,108 | 61,718 |
| Deferred tax | - | - | - | 208 | 411 | 568 | 750 | 900 |
| Total non-current | 41 | 73 | 14,741 | 44,914 | 67,113 | 80,588 | 87,067 | 93,077 |
| Inventories | - | - | - | 81 | 135 | 365 | 397 | 397 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 13 | 3 | 12 | 910 | 2,078 | 2,070 | 2,622 | 810 |
| Trade and other receivables | 12,900 | 17,324 | 10,489 | 22,895 | 23,989 | 75,973 | 85,090 | 93,599 |
| Total current assets | 12,913 | 17,327 | 10,501 | 23,886 | 26,202 | 78,408 | 88,109 | 94,805 |
| Total assets | 12,953 | 17,400 | 25,242 | 68,800 | 93,315 | 158,996 | 175,175 | 187,883 |
| Non-current liabilities | | | | | | | | |
| Trade and other payables | 3,112 | 4,405 | 5,685 | 19,664 | 32,097 | 33,256 | 39,756 | 43,732 |
| Financial liabilities - borrowings | 100 | 100 | 100 | 399 | 250 | 1,603 | 1,603 | 1,603 |
| Deferred tax | - | - | 40 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Provisions (contingent consideration) | - | - | - | 1,833 | 2,300 | 1,903 | 569 | - |
| Total non-current liabilities | 3,212 | 4,505 | 5,825 | 21,896 | 34,647 | 36,762 | 41,928 | 45,335 |
| Trade and other payables | 4,109 | 4,807 | 6,182 | 19,979 | 26,533 | 69,398 | 72,868 | 75,000 |
| Financial liabilities - borrowings incl. bank overdrafts | 520 | 403 | 357 | 519 | - | - | - | - |
| Interest-bearing loans and borrowings | 400 | 380 | 200 | 729 | 949 | 2,625 | 3,392 | 3,392 |
| Provisions | - | - | - | 1,245 | 1,733 | 1,218 | 200 | 200 |
| Tax payable | 148 | 297 | 310 | 543 | 943 | 918 | 918 | 918 |
| Total current liabilities | 5,177 | 5,887 | 7,049 | 23,015 | 30,158 | 74,159 | 77,378 | 79,510 |
| Total liabilities | 8,390 | 10,392 | 12,874 | 44,911 | 64,805 | 110,921 | 119,306 | 124,845 |
| Share capital | | | | | | | | |
| Share capital | 2,315 | 2,997 | 3,685 | 5,253 | 5,494 | 8,621 | 8,831 | 8,831 |
| Share premium | 1,569 | 2,288 | 5,606 | 13,077 | 14,170 | 24,721 | 25,727 | 25,727 |
| Employee share | - | - | 83 | 90 | 91 | 295 | 299 | 299 |
| Treasury shares | - | - | - | - | - | -300 | -300 | -300 |
| Retained earnings | 679 | 1,724 | 2,994 | 5,469 | 8,755 | 14,738 | 21,313 | 28,481 |
| Total equity | 4,563 | 7,008 | 12,368 | 23,889 | 28,510 | 48,075 | 55,870 | 63,038 |
| Period-end no. shares (m) | | | | | | | | |
| Period-end no. shares (m) | 23.31 | 29.97 | 36.85 | 52.53 | 54.94 | 86.20 | 88.44 | 90.48 |
| NAV per share (p) | | | | | | | | |
| NAV per share (p) | 0.20 | 0.23 | 0.34 | 0.45 | 0.52 | 0.56 | 0.63 | 0.70 |
| Tangible NAV per share (p) | | | | | | | | |
| Tangible NAV per share (p) | 0.20 | 0.23 | 0.34 | 0.26 | 0.25 | 0.23 | 0.32 | 0.39 |
| Ratios | | | | | | | | |
| Equity/total receivables | 35% | 40% | 49% | 43% | 39% | 38% | 40% | 41% |
| Current trade recs. to payables | n/d | n/d | 1.70 | 1.15 | 0.90 | 1.09 | 1.17 | 1.25 |

Source: 1pm, Hardman & Co Research

Investors should be aware that when the company refers to own book loans and assets, it is referring to the gross amount due, which includes unearned interest. The accounting balance sheet line excludes this, and thus is a lower number. Similarly, when 1pm refers to drawn facilities, it includes contractual interest due, which again is a larger number than appears in the balance sheet liabilities.

Cashflow

As a lender, we believe the cashflow needs to be treated with a degree of caution – there is likely to be positive cashflow when a business is shrinking and negative when it is growing. Investors should also be aware that, as noted above, the mix of funding between bank facilities (and so in financing activities) compared with block funding (in payables and so in operating activities) will affect lines within the cashflow statement, but not the overall cash position.

| Cashflow | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|
| Year-end May (£000) | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019E | 2020E |
| Profit before tax | 775 | 1,346 | 1,620 | 3,346 | 4,080 | 7,850 | 8,708 | 9,537 |
| Depreciation charges | 15 | 23 | 79 | 354 | 544 | 571 | 528 | 528 |
| Finance costs | 17 | 26 | 21 | 74 | 82 | 179 | 206 | 226 |
| Finance income | 0 | -1 | -3 | -3 | -41 | -63 | 0 | 0 |
| Gain on disposal of property | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -30 | | |
| Increase in trade receivables | -2,787 | -4,425 | -7,667 | -12,649 | -9,134 | 2,624 | -15,128 | -14,120 |
| Increase in trade/other payables | 1,168 | 1,990 | 2,656 | 11,996 | 11,476 | -10,233 | 9,970 | 6,108 |
| Total cash from operations | -812 | -1,039 | -3,294 | 3,118 | 7,007 | 898 | 4,283 | 2,279 |
| Interest paid | -17 | -26 | -21 | -74 | -82 | -179 | -206 | -226 |
| Tax paid | 0 | -148 | -297 | -637 | -615 | -1,612 | -1,139 | -2,021 |
| Net cash from operating activities | -829 | -1,213 | -3,612 | 2,407 | 6,310 | -893 | 2,938 | 32 |
| Acquisition of subsidiaries | 0 | 0 | 0 | -7,588 | -3,141 | -9,879 | -1,300 | -569 |
| Purchase of prop., plant & equip. | -17 | -55 | -246 | -547 | -1,089 | -1,034 | -528 | -528 |
| Interest received | 0 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 41 | 63 | 0 | 0 |
| Net cash from investing activities | -17 | -55 | -243 | -8,132 | -4,189 | -10,850 | -1,828 | -1,097 |
| New loans/loan repayments | 400 | -20 | -180 | -179 | -22 | -701 | 767 | 0 |
| Share issue | 0 | 1,395 | 4,090 | 6,769 | -150 | 12,091 | 0 | 0 |
| Equity dividend paid | 0 | 0 | 0 | -129 | -262 | -419 | -562 | -747 |
| Net cash from financing activities | 400 | 1,375 | 3,910 | 6,461 | -434 | 10,971 | 205 | -747 |
| Increase in cash and cash equivalents | -446 | 107 | 55 | 736 | 1,687 | -772 | 1,316 | -1,812 |
| Opening cash/cash equivalents | -61 | -507 | -400 | -345 | 391 | 2,078 | 1,306 | 2,622 |
| Closing cash/cash equivalents | -507 | -400 | -345 | 391 | 2,078 | 1,306 | 2,622 | 810 |

Source: 1pm, Hardman & Co Research.

Valuation

Summary

Average valuation upside on absolute measures 70%

Our assumptions were detailed in our initiation note ([Financing Powerhouse: A lunchtime treat](#)), and these have not changed. With the revisions to forecasts, our absolute valuation techniques imply an average upside of 70%. The limited peer group relative valuation measures would indicate between 60% and 100% upside.

| Summary of different valuation techniques | | |
|---|-------------------|------------|
| | Implied price (p) | Upside (%) |
| Gordon Growth Model | 116 | 113% |
| Dividend Discount Model | 70 | 28% |
| Average absolute measures | 93 | 70% |

Source: Hardman & Co Research

Gordon Growth Model

GGM captures both value-added and growth; upside more than double

Our preferred valuation approach is to consider the value added by a business, which is captured by the Gordon Growth Model (GGM). A growing business delivering above its cost of capital should trade above book value because it is adding value. This model still indicates a value of 116p. The increase from our last report (103p) is due to rolling forward our valuation by a year. For a business like 1pm, which is growing its equity base rapidly (partially due to our assumed low dividend payout ratio), rolling forward to a new base year will see a material increase in valuation. For example, if we used 2020E NAV rather than 2019E, the valuation would be 129p.

Dividend Discount Model

DDM 70p, upside 28%; would rise to 78p if company immediately adopted long-term payout ratio

Our Dividend Discount Model (DDM) produces a valuation of 70p, of which just 12p is in the terminal value. It is also worth noting that the short-term payout ratio is well below that we expect over the long term. If the company were to immediately adopt our long-term ratio, it would add a further 8p to the valuation under this methodology.

Peer comparisons

Closest peers indicate share price could double. Broader range suggests 60%+ upside.

1pm has no immediate quoted peers. Using the quoted specialist lenders on P/E or P/B measures would suggest a share price broadly double the existing one. The smaller consumer finance companies imply ca.60% upside. The challenger banks are more mixed, but again have upside.

Disclaimer

Hardman & Co provides professional independent research services and all information used in the publication of this report has been compiled from publicly available sources that are believed to be reliable. However, no guarantee, warranty or representation, express or implied, can be given by Hardman & Co as to the accuracy, adequacy or completeness of the information contained in this research and they are not responsible for any errors or omissions or results obtained from use of such information. Neither Hardman & Co, nor any affiliates, officers, directors or employees accept any liability or responsibility in respect of the information which is subject to change without notice and may only be correct at the stated date of their issue, except in the case of gross negligence, fraud or wilful misconduct. In no event will Hardman & Co, its affiliates or any such parties be liable to you for any direct, special, indirect, consequential, incidental damages or any other damages of any kind even if Hardman & Co has been advised of the possibility thereof.

This research has been prepared purely for information purposes, and nothing in this report should be construed as an offer, or the solicitation of an offer, to buy or sell any security, product, service or investment. The research reflects the objective views of the analyst(s) named on the front page and does not constitute investment advice. However, the companies or legal entities covered in this research may pay us a fixed fee in order for this research to be made available. A full list of companies or legal entities that have paid us for coverage within the past 12 months can be viewed at <http://www.hardmanandco.com/legals/research-disclosures>. Hardman may provide other investment banking services to the companies or legal entities mentioned in this report.

Hardman & Co has a personal dealing policy which restricts staff and consultants' dealing in shares, bonds or other related instruments of companies or legal entities which pay Hardman & Co for any services, including research. No Hardman & Co staff, consultants or officers are employed or engaged by the companies or legal entities covered by this document in any capacity other than through Hardman & Co.

Hardman & Co does not buy or sell shares, either for their own account or for other parties and neither do they undertake investment business. We may provide investment banking services to corporate clients. Hardman & Co does not make recommendations. Accordingly, they do not publish records of their past recommendations. Where a Fair Value price is given in a research note, such as a DCF or peer comparison, this is the theoretical result of a study of a range of possible outcomes, and not a forecast of a likely share price. Hardman & Co may publish further notes on these securities, companies and legal entities but has no scheduled commitment and may cease to follow these securities, companies and legal entities without notice.

The information provided in this document is not intended for distribution to, or use by, any person or entity in any jurisdiction or country where such distribution or use would be contrary to law or regulation or which would subject Hardman & Co or its affiliates to any registration requirement within such jurisdiction or country.

Some or all alternative investments may not be suitable for certain investors. Investments in small and mid-cap corporations and foreign entities are speculative and involve a high degree of risk. An investor could lose all or a substantial amount of his or her investment. Investments may be leveraged and performance may be volatile; they may have high fees and expenses that reduce returns. Securities or legal entities mentioned in this document may not be suitable or appropriate for all investors. Where this document refers to a particular tax treatment, the tax treatment will depend on each investor's particular circumstances and may be subject to future change. Each investor's particular needs, investment objectives and financial situation were not taken into account in the preparation of this document and the material contained herein. Each investor must make his or her own independent decisions and obtain their own independent advice regarding any information, projects, securities, tax treatment or financial instruments mentioned herein. The fact that Hardman & Co has made available through this document various information constitutes neither a recommendation to enter into a particular transaction nor a representation that any financial instrument is suitable or appropriate for you. Each investor should consider whether an investment strategy of the purchase or sale of any product or security is appropriate for them in the light of their investment needs, objectives and financial circumstances.

This document constitutes a 'financial promotion' for the purposes of section 21 Financial Services and Markets Act 2000 (United Kingdom) ('FSMA') and accordingly has been approved by Capital Markets Strategy Ltd which is authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority (FCA).

No part of this document may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior permission from Hardman & Co. By accepting this document, the recipient agrees to be bound by the limitations set out in this notice. This notice shall be governed and construed in accordance with English law. Hardman Research Ltd, trading as Hardman & Co, is an appointed representative of Capital Markets Strategy Ltd and is authorised and regulated by the FCA under registration number 600843. Hardman Research Ltd is registered at Companies House with number 8256259.

(Disclaimer Version 8 – Effective from August 2018)

Status of Hardman & Co's research under MiFID II

Some professional investors, who are subject to the new MiFID II rules from 3rd January, may be unclear about the status of Hardman & Co research and, specifically, whether it can be accepted without a commercial arrangement. Hardman & Co's research is paid for by the companies, legal entities and issuers about which we write and, as such, falls within the scope of 'minor non-monetary benefits', as defined in the Markets in Financial Instruments Directive II.

In particular, Article 12(3) of the Directive states: 'The following benefits shall qualify as acceptable minor non-monetary benefits only if they are: (b) 'written material from a third party that is commissioned and paid for by a corporate issuer or potential issuer to promote a new issuance by the company, or where the third party firm is contractually engaged and paid by the issuer to produce such material on an ongoing basis, provided that the relationship is clearly disclosed in the material and that the material is made available at the same time to any investment firms wishing to receive it or to the general public...'

The fact that Hardman & Co is commissioned to write the research is disclosed in the disclaimer, and the research is widely available.

The full detail is on page 26 of the full directive, which can be accessed here: <http://ec.europa.eu/finance/docs/level-2-measures/mifid-delegated-regulation-2016-2031.pdf>

In addition, it should be noted that MiFID II's main aim is to ensure transparency in the relationship between fund managers and brokers/suppliers, and eliminate what is termed 'inducement', whereby free research is provided to fund managers to encourage them to deal with the broker. Hardman & Co is not inducing the reader of our research to trade through us, since we do not deal in any security or legal entity.

Hardman & Co team

Management team

+44 (0)20 7194 7622

| | | | |
|---------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------|
| John Holmes | jh@hardmanandco.com | +44 (0)20 7194 7629 | Chairman |
| Keith Hiscock | kh@hardmanandco.com | +44 (0)20 7194 7630 | CEO |

Business development and investor engagement

+44 (0)20 7194 7622

| | | | |
|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|
| Richard Angus | ra@hardmanandco.com | +44 (0)20 7194 7635 | Business development |
| David Banks | db@hardmanandco.com | +44 (0)20 7194.7622 | Corporate Advisory/Finance |
| Max Davey | md@hardmanandco.com | +44 (0)20 7194 7622 | Investor engagement |
| Antony Gifford | ag@hardmanandco.com | +44 (0)20 7194 7622 | Investor engagement |
| Ann Hall | ah@hardmanandco.com | +44 (0)20 7194 7622 | Business development |
| Gavin Laidlaw | gl@hardmanandco.com | +44 (0)20 7194 7627 | Investor engagement |
| Vilma Pabilionyte | vp@hardmanandco.com | +44 (0)20 7194 7637 | Business development |

Analysts

+44 (0)20 7194 7622

Agriculture

| | |
|---------------|---------------------|
| Doug Hawkins | dh@hardmanandco.com |
| Yingheng Chen | yc@hardmanandco.com |

Bonds / Financials

| | |
|---------------|---------------------|
| Brian Moretta | bm@hardmanandco.com |
| Mark Thomas | mt@hardmanandco.com |

Building & Construction

| | |
|---------------|---------------------|
| Tony Williams | tw@hardmanandco.com |
| Mike Foster | mf@hardmanandco.com |

Consumer & Leisure

| | |
|---------------|---------------------|
| Steve Clapham | sc@hardmanandco.com |
| Mike Foster | mf@hardmanandco.com |
| Jason Streets | js@hardmanandco.com |

Life Sciences

| | |
|---------------|----------------------|
| Martin Hall | mh@hardmanandco.com |
| Dorothea Hill | dmh@hardmanandco.com |
| Grégoire Pavé | gp@hardmanandco.com |

Media

| | |
|------------------|---------------------|
| Derek Terrington | dt@hardmanandco.com |
|------------------|---------------------|

Mining

| | |
|-----------------|---------------------|
| Paul Mylchreest | pm@hardmanandco.com |
|-----------------|---------------------|

Oil & Gas

| | |
|---------------|---------------------|
| Angus McPhail | am@hardmanandco.com |
|---------------|---------------------|

Property

| | |
|-------------|---------------------|
| Mike Foster | mf@hardmanandco.com |
|-------------|---------------------|

Services

| | |
|-------------|---------------------|
| Mike Foster | mf@hardmanandco.com |
|-------------|---------------------|

Special Situations

| | |
|---------------|---------------------|
| Steve Clapham | sc@hardmanandco.com |
| Paul Singer | ps@hardmanandco.com |
| Yingheng Chen | yc@hardmanandco.com |

Tax Enhanced Services

| | |
|---------------|---------------------|
| Brian Moretta | bm@hardmanandco.com |
|---------------|---------------------|

Technology

| | |
|-------------|---------------------|
| Milan Radia | mr@hardmanandco.com |
|-------------|---------------------|

Utilities

| | |
|---------------|---------------------|
| Nigel Hawkins | nh@hardmanandco.com |
|---------------|---------------------|

Hardman & Co

35 New Broad Street
London
EC2M 1NH

Tel: +44(0)20 7194 7622

www.hardmanandco.com

